



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	LICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/976,912 05/14/2002		14/2002	Michael O'Connor	42390.P3674R	1765
8791	7590	12/05/2003		EXAMINER	
		FF TAYLOR &	TOLIN, GERALD P		
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS MITOEL	EGG MIGELLO, GIV 30023			2835	

DATE MAILED: 12/05/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/976,912	O'CONNOR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
,	Gerald P Tolin	2835				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuf Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may bly within the statutory minimum of t will apply and will expire SIX (6) M le. cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 (October 2003.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32,35-43,45-48 and 52</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32,35-43,45-48,52</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intervie	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	6) Other:	•				

Dart of Dance No. 20024200

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/976,912

Art Unit: 2835

1. The 10-10-03 amendment has been received and this letter is in response thereto.

- 2. Claims 33,34,44 and 49-51 have been cancelled. Claims 1-32,35-43,45-48 and 52 remain active.
- 3. In view of applicant's arguments and a thorough review of the papers filed in this case, the paragraph 1 of the first action objection is no longer adhered to. Applicant's arguments were convincing on this point.
- 4. The first action paragraph 2 defective oath/declaration is believed proper and is repeated herein. Applicant's arguments on this point are not convincing. Applicant argues that the original application failed to claim certain embodiments. How is this an error? It appears that a divisional case should have been filed. This is not an error for a reissue case. MPER 1414 states that identifying a single phrase, word, expression/etc., in the written description or claims and how such renders it invalid must be done. Such is not the case herein.

Also, in the oath/declaration it should be stated that "all" errors arose without deceptive intent since errors are alleged. The current language refers to an error.

- 5. Claims 1-32,35-43,45-48 and 52 are rejected for the same reasons given in paragraph 3 of the first action (based on a defective oath as explained above).
- 6. Claims 28-32,35-43,45-48 and 52 are rejected under 35USC251 as being an improper recapture as explained in paragraph 4 of the first action. Applicant's arguments on this point were carefully considered but not persuasive.

Applicant argues that reissue claims are deemed different in scope from the originally cancelled claims if they are narrower in at least one respect. This is not determinitive of the issue. The claims are broader if they are broader in at least one respect and such specifics were pointed out in the first action in paragraph 4 thereof. Further, applicant has not specified in his arguments by referring to any specific claim language.

Accordingly this rejection is still adhered to.

7. Claims 20-32 and 35 are rejected under 35USC102 and 103 for the same reasons given in paragraphs 8-12 of the first action.

The only change in these claims is changing 'air flow generator' to fan(s). Such fails to distinguish over the applied art. Further, applicant argues claim 51, however, that claim is cancelled in the current amendment. Such argument is therefore moot.

Nelson is said to lack "an air duct comprising a housing, with the air duct directing an air flow from an inlet port to an outlet port". This is the only argument given in the art rejection of the relevant claims. As explained in paragraphs 8-12 of the first action, Duct 24 is inside housing 12 as a duct/chamber, with inlets at fans 32 and outlets at openings 22. Such reads on the claim language. The claims are therefore properly rejected.

The claim language is broader than applicant argues.

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Gerald P Tolin whose telephone number is 703-308-

3114. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F first friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Leo Picard can be reached on 703-308-0538. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-1341.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0956.

Gerald P Tolin

Page 4

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2835

gpt